arXiv:1602.03298v1 [math.RA] 10 Feb 2016

Isoclinic Lie Crossed Modules

E. Ilgaz, A. Odabaş and E. Ö. Uslu

Abstract

We introduce the notion of isoclinism among crossed modules of Lie algebras, which will be called "Lie crossed modules" hereafter, and investigate some basic properties. Additionally, we introduce the notion of class preserving actor of a Lie crossed module and the relation with isoclinism.

Keywords: Action, Actor, Crossed Modules, Isoclinism.

1 Introduction

The notion of isoclinism, which is an equivalence relation weaker than isomorphism, was introduced in [Hall (1940)], and detailed in [Hall and Senior (1964)]. This notion has since been further studied by a number of authors, [Jones and Wiegold (1974), Modabbernia (2012), Mohammadzadeh et al. (2013), Parvaneh et al. (2011), Salemkar et al. (2008), Tappe (1976)]. A Lie algebra analogue of isoclinism was introduced in [Moneyhun (1994)]. The structure of Lie algebras occurring in an isoclinism family has been studied in [Salemkar et al. (2008)] and also some applications given in [Batten and Stitzinger (1996), Salemkar et al. (2008), Salemkar et al. (2008)]. Furthermore, in [Salemkar and Mirzaei (2010)] the notion generalized to the notion of n-isoclinism, that is the isoclinism with respect to the variety of nilpotent Lie algebras of class at most n.

A Lie crossed module L is a Lie algebra homomorphism $d : L_1 \longrightarrow L_0$ with an action of L_0 on L_1 satisfying certain conditions. We refer [Casas (1991), Casas and Ladra (1995), Casas and Ladra (1998)], for a comprehensive and detailed research about the notion. The notion is thought as the 2-dimensional Lie algebras in categorical viewpoint, was introduced in [Kassel and Loday (1982)], in

which they proved that the third dimensional cohomology of Lie algebras classifies Lie crossed modules. In this work, we introduce the notion of isoclinism among Lie crossed modules which gives rise to a new classification weaker than isomorphism , and the resulting equivalence classes called isoclinism families. Also we introduce the class preserving actor of a Lie crossed module by inspiring from the notion of class preserving automorphisms of a group.

In order to get our goals, we organize the paper as follows; In Section 2, we recall some needed results about Lie crossed modules and introduce class preserving actor of a Lie crossed module which will be used in the sequel of the paper. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of isoclinism for Lie crossed modules and establish the basic theory. As expected, we give the compatibility of this definition with nilpotency, solvability and class preserving actors of Lie crossed modules.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some needed material about Lie crossed module. See [Casas and Ladra (1995), Casas and Ladra (1998)], for a comprehensive research about the notion. Additionally, we introduce the notion of "class preserving actor of a Lie crossed module".

We fix a field $\mathbb{K}$ and assume all Lie algebras to be over $\mathbb{K}$.

2.1 Isoclinism among Lie algebras

Definition 1 *[Moneyhun (1994)] Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be Lie algebras. $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are said to be isoclinic if there exist isomorphisms $\eta : \mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}/Z(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\xi : [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] \longrightarrow [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]$ between central quotients and derived subalgebras, respectively, such that, the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{c_{\mathfrak{g}}} & [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta\times\eta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} \\ \mathfrak{h}/Z(\mathfrak{h}) \times \mathfrak{h}/Z(\mathfrak{h}) & \xrightarrow[c_{\mathfrak{h}}]{} & [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}] \end{array}$$

is commutative where $c_{\mathfrak{g}}, c_{\mathfrak{h}}$ are commutator maps of Lie algebras. The pair (η,ξ) is called an isoclinism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{h}$, and denoted by $(\eta,\xi) : \mathfrak{g} \sim \mathfrak{h}$.

Examples 2
(1) All abelian Lie algebras are isoclinic to each other. The pairs (η,ξ) consist of trivial homomorphisms.
(2) Every Lie algebra is isoclinic to a stem Lie algebra (a Lie algebra whose center is

contained in its derived subalgebra).

2.2 Lie crossed modules

Definition 3 *[Kassel and Loday (1982)] A Lie crossed module is a Lie algebra homomorphism*

$$d : L_1 \longrightarrow L_0$$

with a Lie action of L_0 on L_1 written $(l_0, l_1) \mapsto [l_0, l_1]$, for $l_0 \in L_0, l_1 \in L_1$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} 1) & d([l_0, l_1]) & = & [l_0, d(l_1)], \\ 2) & [d(l_1), l_1'] & = & [l_1, l_1'], \end{array}$$

for all $l_0 \in L_0$, $l_1, l_1' \in L_1$.

In general such a crossed module is denoted by $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$.

We recall the following examples from [Casas and Ladra (1998)].

Examples 4
(1) $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{ad} Der(\mathfrak{g})$ is a crossed module for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.
(2) If $\mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}$ via adjoint representation and $\mathfrak{h} \xhookrightarrow{inc.} \mathfrak{g}$ is a crossed module. In particular, we have the crossed modules $0 \xhookrightarrow{inc} \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{id} \mathfrak{g}$.
(3) If $\mathfrak{h}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, then $\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{0} \mathfrak{g}$ is a crossed module.

Definition 5 *[Casas (1991)] A Lie crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ is called aspherical if* $\ker(d) = 0$, *and is called simply connected if* $coker\,(d) = 0$.

A morphism between Lie crossed modules $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ and $L' : L_1' \xrightarrow{d'} L_0'$ is a pair (α, β) of Lie algebra homomorphisms $\alpha : L_1 \longrightarrow L_1'$, $\beta : L_0 \longrightarrow L_0'$ such that $\beta d = d'\alpha$ and $\alpha([l_0, l_1]) = [\beta(l_0), \alpha(l_1)]$, for all $l_0 \in L_0$, $l_1 \in L_1$. Consequently, we have the category **XLie** whose objects are Lie crossed modules.

A Lie crossed module $M : M_1 \xrightarrow{d'} M_0$ is a *subcrossed module* of a crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ if M_1, M_0 are Lie subalgebras of L_1, L_0, respectively, $d' = d|_{M_1}$ and the action of M_0 on M_1 is induced from the action of L_0 on L_1. Additionally, if M_1 and M_0 are ideals of L_1 and L_0, respectively, $[l_0, m_1] \in M_1$ and $[m_0, l_1] \in M_1$, for all $l_0 \in L_0$, $l_1 \in L_1$, $m_0 \in M_0$, $m_1 \in M_1$ then M is called an *ideal* of L. Consequently, we have the *quotient crossed module* $L/M : L_1/M_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{d}} L_0/M_0$ with the induced boundary map and action.

Let $M : M_1 \xrightarrow{d} M_0$ be a subcrossed module and $N : N_1 \xrightarrow{d} N_0$ be an ideal of $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$. Then, we have an isomorphism such that

$$\frac{M}{M \cap N} \cong \frac{M \oplus N}{N},$$

where $M \cap N : M_1 \cap N_1 \xrightarrow{d} M_0 \cap N_0$ and $M \oplus N : M_1 \oplus N_1 \xrightarrow{d} M_0 \oplus N_0$.

See [Casas (1991)] for details.

Now we recall the construction of an actor of a Lie crossed module from [Casas and Ladra (1998)]

Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module. A *derivation* from L_0 to L_1 is the $\mathbb{K}$-linear function $\partial : L_0 \longrightarrow L_1$ such that

$$\partial[l_0, l_0'] = [l_0, \partial(l_0')] - [l_0', \partial(l_0)],$$

for all $l_0, l_0' \in L_0$. The set of all derivations is denoted by $Der(L_0, L_1)$ which carries a Lie algebra structure with the bracket $[\partial_1, \partial_2] = \partial_1(d\partial_2) - \partial_2(d\partial_1)$, for all $\partial_1, \partial_2 \in Der(L_0, L_1)$. On the other hand, *a derivation of a Lie crossed module* $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ is a pair (α, β) where $\alpha \in Der(L_1)$ and $\beta \in Der(L_0)$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & \beta d = d\alpha \\ (ii) & \alpha([l_0, l_1]) = [l_0, \alpha(l_1)] + [\beta(l_0), l_1] \end{array}$$

for all $l_0 \in L_0$ and $l_1 \in L_1$. The set of derivations of the Lie crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ is denoted by $Der(L)$. $Der(L)$ is endowed with a Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra structure with component-wise addition, scalar multiplication and the bracket $[(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta')] = ([\alpha, \alpha'], [\beta, \beta'])$, for all $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta') \in Der(L)$.

For a given Lie crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$, we have the corresponding crossed module

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Delta : & Der(L_0, L_1) & \longrightarrow & Der(L) \\ & \partial & \longmapsto & (\partial d, d\partial) \end{array}$$

with the action of $Der(L)$ on $Der(L_0, L_1)$ given by $\left[(\alpha, \beta), \partial\right] = \alpha\partial - \partial\beta$, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in Der(L)$, $\partial \in Der(L_0, L_1)$. This crossed module was introduced in [Casas and Ladra (1998)] and is an *actor* of L, denoted by $Act(L)$. Also see [Casas et al. (2010)], for a comprehensive research about the representability of actions.

Definition 6 *[Casas and Ladra (1995)] Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module. Then the center of L is the crossed module $Z(L) : L_1^{L_0} \xrightarrow{d|} (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$ where*

$$L_1^{L_0} = \{l_1 \in L_1 : \ [l_0, l_1] = 0, \textit{ for all } l_0 \in L_0\}$$

and

$$St_{L_0}(L_1) = \{l_0 \in L_0 : \ [l_0, l_1] = 0, \textit{ for all } l_1 \in L_1\}.$$

On the other hand, the commutator subcrossed module $[L, L]$ *of* L *is defined by*

$$[L, L] : D_{L_0}(L_1) \xrightarrow{d|} [L_0, L_0]$$

where $D_{L_0}(L_1) = \{[l_0, l_1] : l_0 \in L_0, l_1 \in L_1\}$ *and* $[L_0, L_0]$ *is the commutator subalgebra of* L_0.

The group analogues of following proposition can be found in [Norrie (1987)].

Proposition 7 *Let* $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ *be a Lie crossed module. Then we have the following;*
(i) If L *is simply connected, then* $L_1^{L_0} = Z(L_1)$ *and* $D_{L_0}(L_1) = [L_1, L_1]$.
(ii) If L *is aspherical, then* $Z(L_0) = St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)$.

Proof. (i) Let $l_1 \in L_1^{L_0}$. Since L is simply connected, for every $l_0 \in L_0$ there exists $l_1' \in L_1$ such that $d(l_1') = l_0$. Then $[l_0, l_1] = [d(l_1'), l_1] = 0$ and $[l_1', l_1] = 0$. So $l_1 \in Z(L_1)$ i.e $L_1^{L_0} \subseteq Z(L_1)$. Conversely, let $l_1 \in Z(L_1)$. From the hypothesis, we have $[l_0, l_1] = [d(l_1'), l_1] = [l_1', l_1] = 0$. So $l_1 \in L_1^{L_0}$ i.e $Z(L_1) \subseteq L_1^{L_0}$. Let $[l_0, l_1] \in D_{L_0}(L_1)$. From the hypothesis, we can say that $[l_0, l_1] = [d(l_1'), l_1] = [l_1', l_1] \in [L_1, L_1]$. So we have $D_{L_0}(L_1) \subseteq [L_1, L_1]$. Let $[l_1, l_1'] \in [L_1, L_1]$. Then $[l_1, l_1'] = [d(l_1'), l_1] \in D_{L_0}(L_1)$ i.e $[L_1, L_1] \subseteq D_{L_0}(L_1)$.

(ii) Let $l_0 \in Z(L_0)$. Then we have $d([l_0, l_1]) = [l_0, d(l_1)] = 0 = d(0)$. Since L is aspherical, $[l_0, l_1] = 0$ i.e $l_0 \in St_{L_0}(L_1)$. So, we have $Z(L_0) \subseteq St_{L_0}(L_1)$ i.e $Z(L_0) = St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)$. ■

Definition 8 *A Lie crossed module* $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ *is called finite dimensional if* L_1 *and* L_0 *are finite dimensional Lie algebras.*

Definition 9 *[Casas (1991)] Let* $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ *be a Lie crossed module. If there exists* $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *such that* $(L_1, L_0, d)^{(n)} = 0$ *then* L *is called solvable Lie crossed module. Also, the least positive integer n satisfying* $(L_1, L_0, d)^{(n)} = 0$ *is called derived length of the* L. *If there exists* $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *such that* $(L_1, L_0, d)^n = 0$, L *is called nilpotent Lie crossed module. Also, the least natural n satisfying* $(L_1, L_0, d)^n = 0$ *is called nilpotency class of* L.

2.3 Class preserving actors of Lie crossed modules

In this subsection, we introduce the notion of class preserving actor of a Lie crossed module for constructing relation between isoclinic Lie crossed modules and their

actors. We inspire from Theorem 4.1 [Yadav (2008)] in which they claim that the class preserving automorphisms groups of isoclinic groups are isomorphic.

Proposition 10 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module, $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1) := \{\delta \in Der(L_0, l_1) \mid$ there exists $l_1 \in l_1$ such that $\delta(l_0) = [l_0, l_1]$, for all $l_0 \in L_0\}$ and $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L) := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in Der(L) \mid$ there exists $l_0 \in L_0$ such that $\alpha(l_1) = [l_0, l_1]$, $\beta(l_0') = [l_0, l_0']$, for all $l_1 \in L_1, l_0' \in L_0\}$. Then, we have the followings:*
(a) $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $Der(L_0, L_1)$.
(b) $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $Der(L)$.

Proof. (a) Let $\delta, \delta' \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$. We first show that $[\delta, \delta'] \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$. Since $\delta, \delta' \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$, there exist $l_1, l_1' \in L_1$ such that $\delta(l_0) = [l_0, l_1]$ and $\delta'(l_0) = [l_0, l_1']$, for all $l_0 \in L_0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta, \delta'](l_0) &= \delta d\delta'(l_0) - \delta' d\delta(l_0) \\
&= \delta d[l_0, l_1'] - \delta' d[l_0, l_1] \\
&= \delta[l_0, d(l_1')] - \delta'[l_0, d(l_1)] \\
&= \Big[[l_0, d(l_1')], l_1\Big] - \Big[[l_0, d(l_1)], l_1'\Big] \\
&= \Big[l_1, [d(l_1'), l_0]\Big] + \Big[l_1', [l_0, d(l_1)]\Big] \\
&= -[d(l_1'), [l_0, l_1]] - [l_0, [l_1, d(l_1')]] + [l_1', [l_0, d(l_1)]] \\
&= -[l_1', [l_0, l_1]] - [l_0, [l_1, l_1']] + [l_1', d[l_0, l_1]] \\
&= -[l_1', [l_0, l_1]] - [l_0, [l_1, l_1']] + [l_1', [l_0, l_1]] \\
&= [l_0, [l_1', l_1]]
\end{aligned}$$

i.e $[\delta, \delta'] \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$. On the other hand, $\delta - \delta' \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$ and $(k\delta) \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$, we have for any $\delta, \delta' \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1)$, $k \in \mathbb{K}$.
(b) By a similar way, we have $[(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta')]$, $(\alpha, \beta) - (\alpha', \beta')$, $k(\alpha, \beta) \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L)$ for all (α, β), $(\alpha', \beta') \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L)$, $k \in \mathbb{K}$, as required. ■

Proposition 11 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module. $Act_{\mathscr{C}}(L) : Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1) \xrightarrow{\Delta'} Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L)$ is a crossed module with the action induced from the action of $Der(L)$ over $Der(L_0, L_1)$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{lll}
Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L) \times Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1) & \longrightarrow & Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1) \\
((\alpha, \beta), \delta) & \longmapsto & (\alpha, \beta) \cdot \delta = \alpha\delta - \delta\beta.
\end{array}$$

Proof. It can be shown by a direct calculation. ■

Definition 12 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module. The crossed module*

$$Act_{\mathscr{C}}(L) : Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}} Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L)$$

defined in Proposition 11 will be called as the class preserving actor of L and will be denoted by $Act_{\mathscr{C}}(L)$.

Remark 13 *For any Lie crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$, we have $InnAct(L) \trianglelefteq Act_{\mathscr{C}}(L) \leq Act(L)$.*

3 Isoclinic Lie crossed modules

In this section, we will introduce the notion of isoclinism among Lie crossed modules and establish the basic theory.

Notation In the sequel of the paper, for a given Lie crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$, we denote $L/Z(L)$ by $\overline{L_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d}} \overline{L_0}$ where $\overline{L_1} = L_1/L_1^{L_0}$ and $\overline{L_0} = L_0/(St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$, for shortness.

Proposition 14 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module. Define the maps*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} c_1 & : & \overline{L_1} \times \overline{L_0} & \longrightarrow & D_{L_0}(L_1) \\ & & (l_1 + L_1^{L_0}, l_0 + (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))) & \longmapsto & [l_0, l_1] \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rccc} c_0 & : & \overline{L_0} \times \overline{L_0} & \longrightarrow & [L_0, L_0] \\ & & (l_0 + (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)), l_0' + (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))) & \longmapsto & [l_0, l_0'], \end{array}$$

for all $l_1 \in L_1$, $l_0, l_0' \in L_0$. Then the maps c_1 and c_0 are well-defined.

Proof. Let $(l_1 + L_1^{L_0}, l_0 + St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)) = (m_1 + L_1^{L_0}, m_0 + St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$, then $-l_1 + m_1 \in L_1^{L_0}$ and $-l_0 + m_0 \in St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0) \subseteq Z(L_0)$. So, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcll} c_1(\overline{l_1}, \overline{l_0}) & = & [l_0, l_1] & \\ & = & [l_0, l_1] + [l_0, (-l_1 + m_1)] & (-l_1 + m_1 \in L_1^{L_0}) \\ & = & [l_0, m_1] & \\ & = & [(-l_0 + m_0), m_1] + [l_0, m_1] & (-l_0 + m_0 \in St_{L_0}(L_1)) \\ & = & [m_0, m_1] & \\ & = & c_1(\overline{m_1}, \overline{m_0}) & \end{array}$$

which gives the well-definition of c_1.
Similarly, let $\overline{l_0}, \overline{m_0}, \overline{l_0'}, \overline{m_0'} \in \overline{L_0}$. If $(\overline{l_0}, \overline{l_0'}) = (\overline{m_0}, \overline{m_0'})$, then $-l_0 + m_0$, $-l_0' + m_0' \in St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0) \subseteq Z(L_0)$. Then, we have

$$-[l_0, l_0'] + [l_0, m_0'] = 0$$

and

$$[l_0, m_0'] - [m_0, m_0'] = 0$$

from which, we obtain $c_0(\overline{m_0}, \overline{m_0'}) = c_0(\overline{l_0}, \overline{l_0'})$, as required. ■

The pair (c_1, c_0) will be called as the commutator map of the Lie crossed module $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$.

Definition 15 *The Lie crossed modules $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $L' : L_1' \xrightarrow{d_{L'}} L_0'$ are called isoclinic if there exist isomorphisms*

$$(\eta_1, \eta_0) : (\overline{L_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_L}} \overline{L_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{L_1'} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_{L'}}} \overline{L_0'})$$

and

$$(\xi_1, \xi_0) : (D_{L_0}(L_1) \xrightarrow{d_L|} [L_0, L_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{L_0'}(L_1') \xrightarrow{d_{L'}|} [L_0', L_0'])$$

such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{L_1} \times \overline{L_0} & \xrightarrow{c_1} & D_{L_0}(L_1) \\ {\scriptstyle \eta_1 \times \eta_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_1} \\ \overline{L_1'} \times \overline{L_0'} & \xrightarrow[c_1']{} & D_{L_0'}(L_1') \end{array} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{L_0} \times \overline{L_0} & \xrightarrow{c_0} & [L_0, L_0] \\ {\scriptstyle \eta_0 \times \eta_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_0} \\ \overline{L_0'} \times \overline{L_0'} & \xrightarrow[c_0']{} & [L_0', L_0'] \end{array} \tag{2}$$

are commutative where (c_1, c_0) and (c_1', c_0') are commutator maps of L and L', respectively. The pair $((\eta_1, \eta_0), (\xi_1, \xi_0))$ will be called an isoclinism from L to L' and this situation will be denoted by $((\eta_1, \eta_0), (\xi_1, \xi_0)) : L \sim L'$.

Examples 16

(1) All abelian Lie crossed modules (crossed modules coincide with their centers) are isoclinic. The pairs $((\eta_1, \eta_0), (\xi_1, \xi_0))$ consist of trivial homomorphisms.

(2) Let (η, ξ) be an isoclinism between the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{h}$. Then $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{id} \mathfrak{g}$ is isoclinic to $\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{id} \mathfrak{h}$, where $(\eta_1, \eta_0) = (\eta, \eta)$, $(\xi_1, \xi_0) = (\xi, \xi)$.

(3) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and let I be an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $I + Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$. Then $I \xrightarrow{inc.} \mathfrak{g}$ is isoclinic to $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{id} \mathfrak{g}$. Here (η_1, η_0) and (ξ_1, ξ_0) are defined by $(inc., inc.)$, (id, id), respectively.

Remark 17 *If the Lie crossed modules L and L' are simply connected or finite dimensional, then the commutativity of diagrams* (1) *with* (2) *are equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L/Z(L)\times L/Z(L) & \longrightarrow & [L,L] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\eta_1,\eta_0)\times(\eta_1,\eta_0)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\xi_1,\xi_0)} \\ L'/Z(L')\times L'/Z(L') & \longrightarrow & [L',L'] \end{array}$$

Proposition 18 *Isoclinism is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. It can be easily checked by a direct calculation. ■

Proposition 19 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ be a Lie crossed module and $M : M_1 \xrightarrow{d|} M_0$ be its subcrossed module. If $L = M \oplus Z(L)$, i.e, $L_1 = M_1 \oplus L_1^{L_0}$ and $L_0 = M_0 \oplus (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$, then L is isoclinic to M.*

Proof. First, we show that $M_1^{M_0} = M_1 \cap L_1^{L_0}$ and $St_{M_0}(M_1) \cap Z(M_0) = M_0 \cap (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$.
Let $m_1 \in M_1^{M_0}$. For any $l_0 \in L_0$, since $L_0 = M_0 \oplus (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$ there exist $a_0 \in St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)$ and $m_0' \in M_0$ such that $l_0 = m_0' + a_0$. We have $[l_0, m_1] = [(m_0' + a_0), m_1] = 0$, so $m_1 \in M_1 \cap L_1^{L_0}$. Conversely, for any $m_1 \in M_1 \cap L_1^{L_0}$, we have $m_1 \in M_1^{M_0}$. So, $M_1^{M_0} = M_1 \cap L_1^{L_0}$.
Let $m_0 \in St_{M_0}(M_1) \cap Z(M_0)$. For any $l_1 \in L_1$, there exist $k_1 \in M_1$ and $a_1 \in L_1^{L_0}$ such that $l_1 = k_1 + a_1$. Then $[m_0, l_1] = [m_0, (k_1 + a_1)] = 0$, which means that $m_0 \in St_{L_0}(L_1)$. On the other hand, it is clear that $m_0 \in Z(L_0)$. Then, we obtain $m_0 \in M_0 \cap (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$. By a direct calculation, we get $St_{M_0}(M_1) \cap Z(M_0) = M_0 \cap (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$. By the second isomorphism theorem for Lie crossed modules,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M}{Z(M)} &= \frac{(M_1, M_0, d|)}{(M_1^{M_0}, St_{M_0}(M_1) \cap Z(M_0), d|)} \\
&= \frac{(M_1, M_0, d|)}{(M_1 \cap L_1^{L_0}, M_0 \cap (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)), d|)} \\
&= \frac{(M_1, M_0, d|)}{(M_1, M_0, d|) \cap (L_1^{L_0}, St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)), d|)} \\
&\cong \frac{(M_1, M_0, d|) \oplus (L_1^{L_0}, St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)), d|)}{(L_1^{L_0}, St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0)), d|)} \\
&= \frac{M \oplus Z(L)}{Z(L)} \\
&= \frac{L}{Z(L)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $[l_0, l_1] \in D_{L_0}(L_1)$, then there exist $m_1 \in M_1$, $a_1 \in L_1^{L_0}$, $m_0 \in M_0$, $a_0 \in (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$ such that $l_1 = m_1 + a_1$ and $l_0 = m_0 + a_0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
[l_0, l_1] &= [(m_0 + a_0), (m_1 + a_1)] \\
&= [m_0, (m_1 + a_1)] + [a_0, (m_1 + a_1)] \\
&= [m_0, m_1] + [m_0, a_1] + [a_0, m_1] + [a_0, a_1] \\
&= [m_0, m_1],
\end{aligned}$$

we have $[l_0, l_1] \in D_{M_0}(M_1)$. On the other hand, for any $[l_0, l_0'] \in [L_0, L_0]$ there exist $m_0, m_0' \in M_0$, $a_0, a_0' \in (St_{L_0}(L_1) \cap Z(L_0))$ such that $l_0 = m_0 + a_0$, $l_0' = m_0' + a_0'$, from which we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[l_0, l_0'] &= [m_0 + a_0, m_0' + a_0'] \\
&= [m_0, m_0'] + [a_0, m_0'] + [m_0, a_0'] + [a_0, a_0'] \\
&= [m_0, m_0'].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the Lie crossed modules L and M are isoclinic where the isomorphisms (η_1, η_0) and (ξ_1, ξ_0) are defined by $(inc., inc.)$, (id, id), respectively. ■

Remark 20 *If $M : M_1 \xrightarrow{d|} M_0$ is a finite dimensional, then the converse of Proposition 19 is true.*

Proposition 21 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $L' : L'_1 \xrightarrow{d_{L'}} L'_0$ be isoclinic crossed modules.*
(i) If L and L' are aspherical, then L_0 and L'_0 are isoclinic Lie algebras.
(ii) If L and L' are simply connected, then L_1 and L'_1 are isoclinic Lie algebras.

Proof. Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $L' : L'_1 \xrightarrow{d_{L'}} L'_0$ be isoclinic Lie crossed modules. Then we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}(\eta_1, \eta_0) &: (\overline{L_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_L}} \overline{L_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{L'_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_{L'}}} \overline{L'_0}) \\ (\xi_1, \xi_0) &: (D_{L_0}(L_1) \xrightarrow{d_L|} [L_0, L_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{L'_0}(L'_1) \xrightarrow{d_{L'}|} [L'_0, L'_0])\end{aligned}$$

which makes diagrams (1) and (2) commutative.

(i) Since L and L' are aspherical, we have $Z(L_0) \subseteq St_{L_0}(L_1)$, $Z(L'_0) \subseteq St_{L'_0}(L'_1)$. Consequently, η_0 is an isomorphism between $L_0/Z(L_0)$ and $L'_0/Z(L'_0)$. So the pair (η_0, ξ_0) is an isoclinism from L_0 to L'_0.

(ii) Since L and L' are simply connected, we have $L_1^{L_0} = Z(L_1)$, $L_1'^{L'_0} = Z(L'_1)$, $D_{L_0}(L_1) = [L_1, L_1]$ and $D_{L'_0}(L'_1) = [L'_1, L'_1]$. So we have the isomorphisms $\eta_1 : L_1/Z(L_1) \longrightarrow L'_1/Z(L'_1)$, $\xi_1 : [L_1, L_1] \longrightarrow [L'_1, L'_1]$. The pair (η_1, ξ_1) is an isoclinism from L_1 to L'_1, as required. ■

Proposition 22 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $L' : L'_1 \xrightarrow{d_{L'}} L'_0$ be isoclinic finite dimensional Lie crossed modules. Then L_1 and L_0 are isoclinic to L'_1 and L'_0, respectively.*

Proof. Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $L' : L'_1 \xrightarrow{d_{L'}} L'_0$ be isoclinic Lie crossed modules. Then we have the crossed module isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}(\eta_1, \eta_0) &: (\overline{L_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_L}} \overline{L_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{L'_1} \xrightarrow{\overline{d_{L'}}} \overline{L'_0}) \\ (\xi_1, \xi_0) &: (D_{L_0}(L_1) \xrightarrow{d_L|} [L_0, L_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{L'_0}(L'_1) \xrightarrow{d_{L'}|} [L'_0, L'_0])\end{aligned}$$

which makes diagrams (1) and (2) commutative. Since L_1 and L'_1 are finite dimensional, the restriction $\xi_1| : [L_1, L_1] \longrightarrow [L'_1, L'_1]$ is also an isomorphism. Similarly, we have the isomorphisms $\eta'_1 : L_1/Z(L_1) \longrightarrow L'_1/Z(L'_1), \eta'_1(l_1 Z(L_1)) = l'_1 Z(L'_1)$, $\eta'_0 : L_0/Z(L_0) \longrightarrow L'_0/Z(L'_0), \eta'_0(l_0 Z(L_0)) = l'_0 Z(L'_0)$, and ξ_0 which make L_1 and L_0 isoclinic to L'_1 and L'_0, respectively. ■

We will give the relation between the class preserving actors of Lie crossed modules and isoclinism.

Theorem 23 *Let $L : L_1 \xrightarrow{d} L_0$ and $K : K_1 \xrightarrow{d_K} K_0$ be isoclinic Lie crossed modules. Then, we have $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0, L_1) \cong Der_{\mathscr{C}}(K_0, K_1)$.*

Proof. We have the isomorphism,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi: & Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0,L_1) & \longrightarrow & Der_{\mathscr{C}}(K_0,K_1) \\ & \delta & \longmapsto & \lambda \end{array}$$

defined as follows;

Since L and K are isoclinic, there exist isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_1,\eta_0) &: (\overline{L_1} \xrightarrow{d_L|} \overline{L_0}) \longrightarrow (\overline{K_1} \xrightarrow{d_K|} \overline{K_0}) \\ (\xi_1,\xi_0) &: (D_{L_0}(L_1) \xrightarrow{d_L|} [L_0,L_0]) \longrightarrow (D_{K_0}(K_1) \xrightarrow{d_K|} [K_0,K_0]) \end{aligned}$$

which make the diagrams (1) and (2) commutative. Let $\delta \in Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0,L_1)$, $k_0 \in K_0 - (St_{K_0}(K_1) \cap Z(K_0))$ and $\overline{k_0} \in \overline{K_0}$. Set $\overline{l_0} = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{k_0})$. On the other hand, there exists $a_1 \in L_1$ such that $\delta(l_0) = [l_0, a_1]$. Let $\eta_1(\overline{a_1}) = \overline{a_1'}$. Define

$$\lambda(k_0) = \begin{cases} [k_0, a_1'], & k_0 \in K_0 - (St_{K_0}(K_1) \cap Z(K_0)) \\ 0, & k_0 \in St_{K_0}(K_1) \cap Z(K_0). \end{cases}$$

■

Proposition 24 *If L and K are finite dimensional non-abelian isoclinic Lie crossed modules, then $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L) \cong Der_{\mathscr{C}}(K)$.*

Proof. Since L and K are isoclinic and finite dimensional, from Proposition 22 L_1 and L_0 are isoclinic to K_1 and K_0, respectively. So $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_1) \cong Der_{\mathscr{C}}(K_1)$ and $Der_{\mathscr{C}}(L_0) \cong Der_{\mathscr{C}}(K_0)$ from [Mohseni et al (2015)], as required. ■

Consequently we have the following result from Theorem 23 and Proposition 24.

Corollary 25 *Let $L: L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $K: K_1 \xrightarrow{d_K} K_0$ be finite dimensional non-abelian isoclinic Lie crossed modules. Then $Act_{\mathscr{C}}(L) \cong Act_{\mathscr{C}}(K)$.*

As expected, we have the following proposition which shows the relation between isoclinism, nilpotency and solvability. We omit the proof which is an analogue to corollary 14 in [Odabas et al. (2016)].

Proposition 26 *Let $L: L_1 \xrightarrow{d_L} L_0$ and $K: K_1 \xrightarrow{d_K} K_0$ be isoclinic Lie crossed modules.*
(i) L is nilpotent (solvable) if and only if K is nilpotent (solvable).
(ii) If L and K are nilpotent (solvable) and both nontrivial, then they have the same nilpotency class (derived length).

Remark 27 *When we consider the Lie algebras as crossed modules, then we recover classical results for isoclinic Lie algebras. In fact, if $L \xrightarrow{id} L$ and $K \xrightarrow{id} K$ are isoclinic*

Lie crossed modules then we find that L and K are isoclinic. On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ *are finite dimensional Lie algebras and* $\mathfrak{g}' \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}' \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{h}$. *Then the isoclinism of inclusion crossed modules* $\mathfrak{g}' \overset{inc.}{\hookrightarrow} \mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}' \overset{inc.}{\hookrightarrow} \mathfrak{h}$ *give rise to the isoclinism between the pair Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{h})$. *Also, the converse is true, that is, if* $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_0)$ *are isoclinic pair Lie algebras, then the resulting inclusion crossed modules* $\mathfrak{g}_1 \overset{inc.}{\hookrightarrow} \mathfrak{g}_0$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_1 \overset{inc.}{\hookrightarrow} \mathfrak{h}_0$ *are isoclinic. (See [Moghaddam and Parvaneh (2009)], for the definition of isoclinic pair Lie algebras)*

References

[Batten and Stitzinger (1996)] Batten, P., & Stitzinger, E., On covers of Lie algebras,1996, Comm. Algebra, 4301-4317.

[Casas (1991)] Casas, J. M., Invariantes de modulos cruzados en algebras de Lie, PhD. Thesis, University of Santiago, 1991.

[Casas and Ladra (1995)] Casas, J. M., & Ladra, M., Perfect crossed modules in Lie algebras, 1995, Comm. Algebra, 23, 1625-1644.

[Casas and Ladra (1998)] Casas, J. M., & Ladra, M., The actor of a crossed module in Lie algebra, (1998), Comm. Algebra, 26, 2065-2089.

[Casas et al. (2010)] Casas, J. M., Datuashvili, T., & Ladra, M., Universal strict general actors and actors in categories of interest, (2010), Applied Categorical Structures, 18, Issue 1, pp 85-114.

[Hall (1940)] Hall, P., The classification of prime power groups, 1940, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 182, 130-141.

[Hall and Senior (1964)] Hall, M., & Senior, J.K., The groups of order 2^n $(n \leq 6)$, 1964, Macmillan.

[Jones and Wiegold (1974)] Jones, M.R., & Wiegold, J., Isoclinism and covering groups, 1974, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 11, 71-76.

[Kassel and Loday (1982)] Kassel, C., & Loday, L., Extensions centrales d'algebras de Lie, 1982, Ann. Inst. Fourier. Grenoble, 32 (4), 119-142.

[Modabbernia (2012)] Modabbernia, R., Isologism, Schur-pair property and Baer-invariant of groups, 2012, World Applied Sciences Journal, 16, 1631-1637.

[Moghaddam and Parvaneh (2009)] Moghaddam, M. R. R., & Parvaneh, F., On the isoclinism of a pair of Lie algebras and factor sets, 2009, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 2, 213.

[Mohseni et al (2015)] Sheikh-Mohseni, S. , Saeedi, F. , & Badrkhani Asl, M. , On special subalgebras of derivations of Lie algebras, 2015, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 8, 1550032 (12 pages).

[Mohammadzadeh et al. (2013)] Mohammadzadeh, H., Salemkar, A.R., & Riyahi, Z., Isoclinic extensions of Lie algebras, 2013, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 598-606.

[Moneyhun (1994)] Moneyhun, K., Isoclinism in Lie algebras, 1994, Algebras Groups and Geometries, 11, 9-22.

[Norrie (1987)] Norrie, K.J., Crossed modules and analogues of group theorems, 1987, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London.

[Odabas et al. (2016)] Odabas, A., Uslu, E.Ö., & Ilgaz, E., Isoclinism of Crossed Modules, 2016, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 74, 408-424.

[Parvaneh et al. (2011)] Parvaneh, F., Moghaddam R., & Khaksar, A., Some properties of n-isoclinism in Lie algebras, 2011, Italian Journal of Pure and Appl. Math., 28, 165-176.

[Salemkar et al. (2008)] Salemkar, A.R., Alamian, V., & Mohammadzadeh, H., Some properties of the Schur multiplier and covers of Lie algebras, 2008, Comm. Algebra, 697-707.

[Salemkar et al. (2008)] Salemkar, A.R., Bigdely H., & Alamian, V., Some properties on isoclinism of Lie algebras and covers, 2008, Journal of Algebra and Its Appl., 7, 507-516.

[Salemkar and Mirzaei (2010)] Salemkar, A.R., & Mirzaei, F., Characterizing n-isoclinism classes of Lie algebras, 2010, Algebra Colloq., 3392-3403.

[Tappe (1976)] Tappe, J., On isoclinic groups, 1976, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 148, 147-153.

[Yadav (2008)] Yadav, M.K., On automorphisms of some finite p-groups, 2008, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 118, 1-11.